



Macroecology of freshwater animals: Differences between habitats

Hof, Christian; Brändle, Martin; Brandl, Roland

Publication date:
2007

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (APA):
Hof, C., Brändle, M., & Brandl, R. (2007). *Macroecology of freshwater animals: Differences between habitats*. Paper presented at 37th annual meeting of the Ecological Society of Germany, Austria and Switzerland (GfÖ), Marburg, Germany.

atic variables in

portant factors which
mal communities are
ect ALARM provides
importance of these
ld sites, ranging from
ght pitfall traps were
t (agricultural areas,
vegetation period, and
spiders and pterygote
ppers and true bugs,
ut 40.000 specimens
imate variables (e.g.
raphic gradients, and
cies richness, species
abitat disturbance on
thropod communities,
ites.

itzerland,

Macroecology of freshwater animals: Differences between habitats

C. Hof, M. Brändle & R. Brandl

The variation of biodiversity across space has been fascinating ecologists and biogeographers for centuries. However, there is ongoing debate on the mechanisms underlying the observed diversity patterns. Furthermore, there is a clear bias in macroecology and biogeography towards investigations on the marine and, particularly, on the terrestrial realm, although the investigation of freshwater ecosystems may provide valuable insights into the processes determining spatial diversity patterns. Here, we analyze the variation in alpha- and beta-diversity across latitude for all European freshwater animals (> 14,000 species) as well as the species richness patterns of European and North American Odonata. In the latter study, we showed that species of standing waters have larger and more northern ranges than species of running water habitats [1]. We explain this by the fundamental differences between the two habitat types in terms of temporal and spatial stability which strongly influence the dispersal ability of the species. These results corroborate the findings of other studies [2,3]. Accordingly, investigating the alpha-diversity of 25 pre-defined biogeographic freshwater regions we found that the relationship between species richness and latitude is not concordant across different habitat types: Groundwater and running water habitats show a monotonous decrease of species richness with increasing latitude, whereas standing water habitats exhibit a hump-shaped relationship. Furthermore, species adapted to standing water bodies show lower levels of beta-diversity among biogeographic regions. Overall, we emphasize the importance of considering ecological traits of species to explain current patterns of biodiversity.

References

- [1] Hof, C., Brändle, M., & Brandl, R. (2006) Lentic odonates have larger and more northern ranges than lotic species. – *Journal of Biogeography* 33: 63-70.
- [2] Marten, A., Brändle, M., & Brandl, R. (2006) Habitat type predicts genetic population differentiation in freshwater invertebrates. – *Molecular Ecology* 15: 2643-2651.
- [3] Ribera, I., Foster, G.N., & Vogler, A.P. (2003) Does habitat use explain large scale species richness patterns of aquatic beetles in Europe? – *Ecography* 26: 145-152.

Christian Hof, Department of Animal Ecology, University of Marburg, Germany; Center for Macroecology, University of Copenhagen, Denmark; Biodiversity and Global Change Lab, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Madrid, Spain, chof@bi.ku.dk